



Appendix H: Allegations and Whistleblowing

Table of Contents

1. Purpose and content.....	3
2. Introduction.....	3
3. Definitions.....	3
4. Malpractice.....	3
5. Disclosure of information.....	4
6. Procedure and further steps.....	4
7. Safeguarding children: allegations about a member of staff.....	6
8. Passing information outside of UCQ.....	7
9. Duty of Care to students and staff.....	7
10. Initial equalities impact assessment.....	9

1. Purpose and content

- 1.1 The purpose of this appendix is to provide a clear set of guidelines to students and staff regarding the actions they must take to raise concerns about staff, or poor or unsafe practice and potential failures to safeguarding arrangements.
- 1.2 This policy applies to all students and staff, including agency, associate and hourly paid, irrespective of anyone's position within the organisation, together with associates and any workers who are at the premises on a voluntary, placement or other professional basis.

2. Introduction

- 2.1 University Centre Quayside (UCQ) encourages members of the UCQ community to raise genuine concerns about malpractice at the earliest possible stage. They do not need to wait to have proof before raising a genuine concern. Malpractice within UCQ is taken very seriously.
- 2.2 UCQ has produced this procedure to provide protection for members of the UCQ community who want to raise concerns about malpractice in connection with UCQ. The aim is to provide a way for anyone to quickly raise genuine concerns, without fear of repercussions. UCQ also want to promote a culture of openness and a shared sense of honesty by inviting all to act responsibly to uphold our reputation and maintain the public's confidence in us.
- 2.3 This procedure also aims to create a balance between the need to provide protection for members of the UCQ community who raise genuine concerns about malpractice and the need to protect members of staff, students and UCQ against incorrect allegations which can cause serious difficulty to innocent people.

3. Definitions

- 3.1 **Whistleblowing** – is the term used when someone who works in, or for, an organisation wishes to raise concerns about malpractice, wrongdoing, illegality or risk in the organisation (e.g. neglect of safeguarding responsibilities) and/or cover up of any of these.

4. Malpractice

- 4.1 For the purposes of this procedure, the following are termed as types of 'malpractice':
 - 4.1.1 Fraud or financial irregularity
 - 4.1.2 Corruption, bribery or blackmail
 - 4.1.3 Failure to keep to a legal or regulatory obligation
 - 4.1.4 Miscarriage of justice
 - 4.1.5 Putting, or likely to put the health or safety of any person in danger
 - 4.1.6 Putting, or likely to put the environment in danger
 - 4.1.7 Hiding or not releasing information relating to any of the above.

5. Disclosure of information

- 5.1 This procedure applies to someone releasing information who is a service user, employee or a UCQ contract worker we employ or engage and who has reasonable grounds to believe that malpractice has taken place, is currently taking place or is likely to take place in connection with UCQ (whether on the part of another employee, a member of the company, or any other person). Reasonable grounds for belief means more than just a possibility or risk that malpractice has taken place, is taking place or is likely to take place. The information must be made in good faith and not motivated by personal antagonism or personal gain.
- 5.2 The person with the information should release it, in confidence, as soon as possible, to a member of management with whom they are comfortable, and who they feel they can trust. The manager should then raise the issue with the Principal & CEO who will make sure that a member of staff of appropriate experience within UCQ are chosen as investigator. An investigator may refuse to become involved on reasonable grounds, including:
- 5.2.1 a possible previous involvement or interest in the matter concerned;
 - 5.2.2 being ill;
 - 5.2.3 being unavailable; or
 - 5.2.4 an investigator is satisfied that some other investigator would be more appropriate to consider the matter.
- 5.3 If you pass information to an investigator under this procedure, please do so in writing. If this is not possible you can speak to the investigator. You should provide as much written evidence as possible and the reasons for your belief of malpractice.
- 5.4 When the investigator receives your information, they will offer you a confidential interview as soon as possible and within no more than 10 working days. The purpose of the interview will be for the investigator to gather as much information as possible about your reasons for believing malpractice has taken place and to consult you about further steps which UCQ could take. You can have a work colleague, trade-union representative or friend with you at the interview. If your friend is a lawyer they cannot be there in their legal capacity. The investigator may have an administrative assistant with them to take notes.

6. Procedure and further steps

- 6.1 As soon as possible after the interview (or after you tell the investigator if no interview takes place), and within no more than 20 working days, the investigator will recommend the further steps to be taken. These recommendations may include, but are not limited to, the following:
- 6.1.1 The matter may need to be reported to the police.
 - 6.1.2 The matter may need to be investigated by either internal or external auditors or other investigators UCQ have appointed.
 - 6.1.3 The matter may need to be reported to the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA), the Department for Children, Schools and Families, the National Audit Office (or any organisation which takes over from them), devolved authority, or any other appropriate public authority.
 - 6.1.4 You or another individual may need to be given the opportunity to take action through the grievance or complaints procedures or by making an appeal under the appeals procedures if the concerns relate to either yours or another's own personal circumstances rather than malpractice within UCQ.

-
- 6.2 The reasons when the investigator may recommend no further action are as follows:
- 6.2.1 If the investigator is satisfied that you do not have a reasonable belief that malpractice has taken place or is likely to.
 - 6.2.2 If the investigator is satisfied that you are not acting in good faith.
 - 6.2.3 If the matter concerned is already being dealt with under legal proceedings, or has already been referred to the police, the ESFA, the Department for Children, Schools and Families, the National Audit Office or another organisation or person covered by the Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998.
 - 6.2.4 If the matter is already being (or has already been) dealt with under one of UCQ's other procedures relating to staff, students or stakeholders.
 - 6.2.5 If the investigator is satisfied, after investigation, that the malpractice has not taken place, is not taking place, or is not likely to.
- 6.3 The investigator will make any recommendations under this procedure to the Principal & CEO unless it is alleged that the Principal & CEO is involved in the alleged malpractice or unless there are other good reasons for not doing so. If this is the case, the investigator will make the recommendations to the Vice Principal
- 6.4 The investigator will take all steps possible to make sure that the recommendations are put into practice unless there are good reasons for not doing so.
- 6.5 Once the Principal & CEO has decided what further steps (if any) should be taken, the investigator will let you know the decision within 10 working days. If UCQ plan to take no further action, the investigator will give you the reasons for this.
- 6.6 The following definitions will be used when determining the outcome of an allegation against a UCQ student, staff or another stakeholder:
- 6.6.1 **Substantiated** – there is sufficient evidence to prove the allegation
 - 6.6.2 **Malicious** – there is sufficient evidence to disprove the allegation and there has been a deliberate act to deceive
 - 6.6.3 **False** – there is sufficient evidence to disprove the allegation
 - 6.6.4 **Unsubstantiated** – there is insufficient evidence to either prove or disprove the allegation.

7 Safeguarding children: allegations about a member of staff

- 7.1 In order to safeguard children and vulnerable adults, UCQ undertake robust recruitment exercises. Satisfactory reference and DBS checks are required for all UCQ staff who come into contact with children and vulnerable adults. No member of staff subject to these checks are permitted to commence work until a satisfactory outcome is confirmed.
- 7.2 A complaint or allegation about a member of staff may arise from different sources, including:
- 7.2.1 A child or an adult
 - 7.2.2 A parent
 - 7.2.3 A member of the public
 - 7.2.4 A disciplinary investigation
- 7.3 A person receiving an allegation or concern about another member of staff must do the following:

****For emergencies call 999 immediately****

- 7.3.1 Treat the matter seriously
- 7.3.2 Do not make suggestions or lead the person in any way
- 7.3.3 Take particular care in asking or interpreting responses to questions about indications of abuse as this could affect evidence put forward in any subsequent legal proceedings
- 7.3.4 Make a written record of the information as soon as possible that includes:
 - a) The name of the complainant and, where different, the name of the child who has allegedly been abused
 - b) When and where the alleged incident took place, including the date and time
 - c) Who was present
 - d) The account of what is alleged to have happened
 - e) A description of any injuries observed
 - f) Your name, signature and date
- 7.3.5 Contact the Strategic Safeguarding Lead (SSL) **immediately** to report that an allegation has been made
- 7.3.6 If the SSL is unavailable or the allegation is being made against the SSL, contact the Designated Safeguarding Lead **immediately**.

7.4 Key safeguarding contacts

Responsibility	Name	Telephone	Email
Principal & CEO	Nick Mapletoft	0191 275 5015	Nick.mapletoft@ucq.ac.uk
Strategic Safeguarding Lead	Michelle Elliott	07759561342	Michelle.elliott@ucq.ac.uk
Designated Safeguarding Lead	Kelly Pattison	07308231263	Michelle.elliott@ucq.ac.uk
Designated Safeguarding Lead (Stockton)	Ben Devine	07366564165	ben.devine@ucq.ac.uk

8 Passing information outside of UCQ

- 8.1 If, having followed this procedure, you are not satisfied with the further steps (if any) we have decided on or the outcome of these steps, you may raise the matter confidentially with the ESFA, the Department for Children, Schools and Families or the National Audit Office or any other person or organisations mentioned in the Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998. You can get a list of these people or organisations, known as “Prescribed Persons” from the investigator. Before you take this action, you **must** let the investigator know.
- 8.2 You may also raise the matter if you have good reason for believing that all of the investigators are, or were, involved in the alleged malpractice or that you may suffer as a result of releasing the information.
- 8.3 You may, at any time, pass the matter confidentially to a professionally qualified lawyer to get legal advice.
- 8.4 If an investigator believes that the circumstances of a particular situation will mean they need to get guidance, such as from a regional trade union official, they may do so. In these circumstances the investigator will have to do their best to make sure that the matter stays strictly confidential, unless:
- 8.4.1 allowed for by this procedure; or
 - 8.4.2 this is not possible by law; or
 - 8.4.3 until such time as it becomes public knowledge.

9 Duty of Care to students and staff

- 9.1 UCQ is committed to dealing with any allegations or issues quickly, and in a fair and consistent way, that provides effective protection for whistle-blowers and any students/others involved, whilst at the same time supporting the individual who is the subject of an allegation.
- 9.2 Any report or recommendations by the investigator will not, if possible, identify you, unless you agree in writing or unless there are reasons to believe you have acted maliciously. If you do not agree, or there are no good reasons, the investigator will not reveal your identity under this procedure unless:
- 9.2.1 they legally have to;
 - 9.2.2 the information is already public knowledge;
 - 9.2.3 it is on a strictly confidential basis to the investigator’s administrative assistant;
 - 9.2.4 it is on a strictly confidential basis to a professionally qualified lawyer for the purpose of getting legal advice; or
 - 9.2.5 it is necessary as part of a proper investigation.
- 9.3 However, while UCQ takes all practical steps to avoid identifying you, you must accept that in some circumstances it is going to be obvious who has provided the information. As a result of this, UCQ cannot guarantee to keep your identity confidential.
- 9.4 The investigator will keep any documents (including electronic files) relating to the matter secure, so that as far as possible only they and their administrative assistant have access to them. As far as possible, any documents the investigator keeps will not reveal your identity.

- 9.5 If you involve a local trade-union representative or work colleague in this procedure, you must make sure that the representative or work colleague keeps this matter strictly confidential, unless:
- 9.5.1 allowed for by this procedure; or
 - 9.5.2 this is not possible by law; or
 - 9.5.3 until such time as it becomes public knowledge.
- 9.6 UCQ may tell you to take part in any enquiry or investigation into the matter that UCQ organises.
- 9.7 Where you take part in this enquiry or investigation, it will usually be on an open rather than a confidential basis. However, the investigator's obligations under this procedure will still apply about your identity.
- 9.8 UCQ will not treat you less favourably because you have provided information under this procedure. If you do receive this kind of treatment, you should complain to an investigator. If you want the investigator to take action, UCQ may ask you to give your permission in writing, revealing your identity for the purposes of any action.
- 9.9 UCQ will not take disciplinary action against you if you release information under this procedure. This will not prevent disciplinary action in cases where UCQ has good reason to believe that you have provided information falsely or maliciously or if you break the conditions of this procedure without good reason.

10. Initial equalities impact assessment

Department: All	Completed by: Michelle Elliott: Vice Principal	Date of initial assessment: 23/04/2021
Area to be assessed:	SA-002 Safeguarding Policy: Appendix H	
Existing or new policy/procedure:	Existing	
What evidence has been used to inform the assessment and policy? (please list only)		
External guidance and requirements:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ All legislation and publications identified in section 2.1 of the Safeguarding Policy ➤ Internal guidance and requirements: ➤ Consultation with Safeguarding Team and Head of Policy & Governance 		

1. Describe the aims, objectives or purpose of the policy/procedure	<p>University Centre Quayside (UCQ) fully recognise their responsibilities to safeguard and promote the welfare of children and vulnerable adults, including the responsibilities their Academic Council, staff and volunteers have in regard to the protection of children and vulnerable adults from abuse; and to have due regard to the need to prevent people from being drawn into terrorism. This Safeguarding Policy sets out UCQ’s safeguarding arrangements.</p> <p>This appendix is to provide a clear set of guidelines to students and staff regarding the actions they must take to raise concerns about staff, or poor or unsafe practice and potential failures to safeguarding arrangements</p>		
2. Which stakeholders/groups are intended to benefit from this policy/procedure?	All stakeholders		
<p>The Equality Act 2010 requires public bodies to have ‘due regard’ to the need to:-</p> <p>(1) Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimization</p> <p>(2) Advance equality of opportunity between different groups; and</p> <p>(3) Foster good relations between different groups</p>	<p>3. Could the policy/procedure have a disproportionately negative effect impact in terms of the aims set out in (1) to (3) of the Act on any of the protected characteristics?:-</p> <p>High</p> <p>Medium</p> <p>Low</p> <p>No effect</p>	<p>4. Briefly explain how the policy/procedure furthers or prevents the aims set out in (1) to (3).</p>	<p>5. If there is a disproportionately negative impact on any protected characteristics, can it be justified on the grounds of promoting equality or any other reason? If yes, please explain.</p>
	<p>Age</p>	No effect	Not applicable

Disability	No effect	Not applicable	Not applicable
Gender	No effect	Not applicable	Not applicable
Gender reassignment	No effect	Not applicable	Not applicable
Marriage and Civil Partnership	No effect	Not applicable	Not applicable
Pregnancy and Maternity	No effect	Not applicable	Not applicable
Race	No effect	Not applicable	Not applicable
Religion or Belief	No effect	Not applicable	Not applicable
Sexual Orientation	No effect	Not applicable	Not applicable

6. Has there been any consultation/engagement with the appropriate protected characteristics?	Not applicable as no negative PC impact identified
7. What action(s) will you take to reduce any disproportionately negative impact, if any?	None required
8. Based on the information in sections 1 to 7, should this policy/procedure proceed to Full Impact Assessment? (recommended if one or more 'High' under section 2)	No
Assessor signature: 	Approved by: Michelle Elliott 
	Date approved: 23/04/2021